Reply to Office Action of January 22, 2007

**REMARKS** 

Claims 14 and 15 are indicated to be allowable if re-written as independent claims

Docket No.: 21064/0206820-US0

including the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 12 now contains the

limitations of claim 14. Thus, claim 12 should now be allowed. Claims 15-20 depend directly or

indirectly from claim 12. Thus, these claims should also be allowed.

In the Reasons for Allowance of claims 14 and 15, the Examiner states that Oikawa fails

to disclose a "multi-layered structure having a second interlayer formed from a Ru alloy material."

New claim 23 recites a multi-layered structure having a second interlayer formed from a Ru alloy

material. Thus, new claim 23 and claims 24-26 that depend from new claim 23 should also be

allowable.

New claim 28 is supported by paragraph [0021] of the specification.

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in

condition for allowance.

Dated: May 22, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By /Raj S. Davé/

Raj S. Davé

Registration No.: 42,465

DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 5257

New York, New York 10150-5257

(212) 527-7700

(212) 527-7701 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant

7